SINGLE BENCH

C.S.1001

04

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.No.. 1530 of 2005

Petitioner : Ishwar Prasad Swarnakar S/o SitaRam Swarnkar Aged about 52 years, Occupation - Ad-hoc Teacher, Govt. M.H.S.School Sarangarh Dist - Raigarh(C.G.) R/o Mahatma Gandhi Chowk, Baniya Para, Sarangarh District- Raigarh (C.G.)
(Presently discontinued)

P.R. No. 17/8/05
Presented by Shri K.N. Nandy
dated 19.04.05

Versus

Respondents :
1. State of Chhattisgarh Through :- Secretary Department of Education D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchnalaya Behind Bord of Secondary Education, Pension Bada, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Distt. Education Officer, Education-District Raigarh (C.G.)
4. State of M.P. Through:- Secretary Department of Education, Vallab Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

RECEIVED COPY
19.4
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1550/05 **सन्** **200**

40

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25.4.2005

Mr. K.N. Nande, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Heard.

The case of the petitioner is that in the year 1979 the Education Department of erstwhile State of M.P. conducted a test for the appointment of Assistant Teachers in the Government Schools. In that test the petitioner was selected and accordingly, he was appointed as Upper Division Teachers on daily wages. However, the services of the petitioner were discontinued and vacancy which arises on account of the discontinuation of the petitioner's services was filled by the State of M.P. Thereafter, the petitioner is regularly making representations for considering the petitioner to the appointment on the post of teacher. Further case of the petitioner is that in the year 1997 the erstwhile State of M.P. took a decision to appoint ad-hoc teachers in place of Shiksha Karmi but same is not being implemented by State of Chhattisgarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 along with copy of this petition raising all his grievances and respondent No.2 be directed to decide his representation, to which counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation along with copy of this petition within a period of ten days from today to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A. Nos.2978/05 & 2979/05 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

29/4/05